

## **APIC-MN International Infection Control Activity**

### **Criteria for Evaluation**

#### **1. Intrinsic merit of the project as judged by:**

- a) its importance to the field;
- b) the clarity of the intent of the project; and
- c) its apparent potential for success.

#### **2. Need of the applicant as judged by:**

- a) availability of alternative internal and external sources of funds; and
- b) current resources of the applicant for all funded activities;

#### **3. Competence of applicant:**

In the proposal, does the applicant clearly provide information that he/she is competent and possess the appropriate skills and knowledge to perform the proposed activities.

Does the applicant provide information that s/he can work with a minimum of supervision?

#### **4. Is the budget justified?**

### **Terms of Support**

Specific projects are generally supported only once. Should applicants wish to apply for a second term of support\*, a new proposal must be submitted that addresses the following areas:

- a) strong indication that the potential for useful results and/or outside funding would be markedly improved by the additional year of support;
- b) appropriate progress has been achieved during the first year of the project, and,
- c) concrete efforts should have been made (whether successful or not) to obtain external funds.

\* New projects will have priority for funding, other factors being equal. Support for a specific project beyond the second year is granted only in exceptional circumstances.